



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,449	_	02/09/2004	Sebastian Bauer	P69468US0	3610
136	7590	03/27/2006		EXAMINER	
		IAN PLLC	THOMPSON, KENNETH L		
400 SEVEN SUITE 600	400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				3672	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurred	10/773,449	BAUER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth Thompson	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma	arch 2006						
· ·	action is non-final.						
· <u>-</u>		secution as to the merits is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
·							
	Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
o) Olamico are subject to restriction and or	cicolori requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

ž.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The indicated allowability of claims 2, 3 and 9 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Petersen et al., U.S. 3,501,173. Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petersen et al., U.S. 3,501,173 in view of Kopecki et al., U.S. 6,710,600.

Regarding claims 2-10 and 12, Petersen et al. discloses boring mechanism having mutually displaceable rods (24', 29), one rod having steel (42',31',38) and fiberglass (30); interlocking members (48,33,35), one being a slot (33). Peterson et al. does not disclose the fiberglass is carbon fiber. Kopecki et al. teaches use of carbon fiber and fiberglass as being well known in the art (col. 2, lines 18-35). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention to arrange for the fiberglass rod disclosed by Petersen et al. to be carbon fiber as taught by Kopecki et al. since the use of both is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art and the selection of material being a design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,449

Art Unit: 3672

## Conclusion

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

23 March 2006

Kenneth Thompson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672